

REMARKS

The foregoing amendment and the following arguments are provided to impart precision to the claims, by more particularly pointing out the invention, rather than to avoid prior art.

In the Drawings:

The Examiner has objected to the drawings. A corrected Figure 2 is enclosed with the correction shown in red ink. In addition, appropriate amendments have been made to the foregoing claims.

Rejections Under 35 U.S.C. § 102

The Examiner has rejected claims 1-3, 5, and 13-16 under 35 U.S.C. § 102(b), as being anticipated by Chao, U.S. Patent No. 5,095,404, hereinafter referred to as "Chao."

"To anticipate a claims, the reference must teach every element of the claim. A claim is anticipated only if each and every element as set forth in the claim is found, either expressly or inherently described, in a single prior art reference." (Manual of Patent Examining Procedures (MPEP) ¶ 2131.)

Independent claims 1 and 8 of the present application include limitations not disclosed or taught by Chao. As a result, independent claims 1 and 8 are not anticipated by Chao.

In particular, applicant's claims, as amended, include the limitation, or a limitation similar there to, of:

**an evaporator section of a heat pipe attached to a first end of a base of the heat pipe, wherein a width of the evaporator section is greater than a width of the base, and the evaporator section having a**

**size of at least a surface area of a heat spreader. (emphasis added).  
(Applicant's claim 1).**

Chao, however, does not disclose nor suggest the limitation of a width of the evaporator section is greater than a width of the base, and the evaporator section having a size of at least a surface area of a heat spreader, as claimed by applicant.

Therefore, in view of applicant's independent claims including limitations that are not disclosed nor suggested by Chao, applicant's independent claims are not anticipated by Chao.

In addition, the remaining claims depend from one of the independent claims as discussed above, and therefore include similar limitations, and as a result are also not anticipated by Chao.

Conclusion

It is respectfully submitted that in view of the amendments and remarks set forth herein, all rejections have been overcome. All pending claims are now in condition for allowance, which is earnestly solicited.

Authorization is hereby given to charge our Deposit Account 02-2666 for any charges that may be due. Furthermore, if an extension is required, then applicants hereby request such an extension.

Respectfully submitted,

BLAKELY, SOKOLOFF, TAYLOR & ZAFMAN LLP

Dated: 2/24, 2004

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
John P. Ward, Reg. No. 40,216

12400 Wilshire Boulevard  
Seventh Floor  
Los Angeles, CA 90025-1030  
(408) 720-8300